

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/017,768 12/12/2001		12/12/2001	James Parr	57095-5010	6865
423	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
HENKEL			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
	THE TRIAD, SUITE 200 2200 RENAISSANCE BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GULPH M	GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			1615	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No:	Applicant(s)
	10/017,768	PARR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF		TH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR		• timely filed
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r		downwill be asserted and time by
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion     Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state     Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum.	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS flute, cause the application to become ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	December 2004.	
	his action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-25</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rece	ivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	arv (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of Information (6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 03212005

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of amendment and remarks dated 12-30-04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-4 and 16-25 are pending in the instant application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12-30-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejection of claims 1-4, 7-15 and 25under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Duffy et al: (please refer to the rejection in the office action dated 11-17-03)

In maintaining the rejection, examiner stated that the rejection is maintained over the claims that do not recite cationic polymers. Applicants argue that all the claims require cationic polymers and hence the rejection is improper. However, instant claim 1 recites component b) is selected from the group consisting of cationic polymers and cationic surfactants. Duffy clearly teaches surfactants including the claimed cationic surfactants of (col. 7, lines 43-49). Thus, Duffy teaches the claimed components i.e., ascorbic acid, cationic surfactants and humectants. As explained in the previous action, instant claim 1 (and the claims dependent thereof) does not require water and further, Duffy clearly teaches preparing emulsions, such as oil—in-water or vice-versa. Applicants argue that instant claim 1 now recites water-soluble thus distinguishing from that Duffy. However, the amendment only reflects an intended effect, which is also achieved with the cationic surfactants of Duffy.

Rejection of claim 6 over Duffy in view of JP:

Applicants argue that Duffy teaches creams and lotions whereas JP teaches foams and that both cannot be combined. Applicants' arguments are not persuasive because instant claims do not recite argued limitation. Moreover, both references are directed to ascorbic acid containing formulations. JP clearly teaches that compositions containing ascorbic acid, plant extracts and cationic polymers enhance the shelf stability of the composition. Therefore, one of an ordinary skill in the art would employ cationic polymers in composition of Duffy with an expectation to enhance shelf stability because Duffy also desires to enhance the stability of ascorbic acid containing compositions.

Rejection of claims 16-24 as being obvious over Duffy in view of Mukherjee et al:

Applicants argue that the two references are directed to solving the problem of stability in different ways and that one cannot combine the two references to arrive at the instant invention. However, both Mukherjee and Duffy are directed to preparing stable ascorbic acid compositions and also employing surfactants. Further, Mukherjee states that preparing emulsions with sepigel, one of the claimed surfactants of the instant invention, to obtain a stable emulsion. The teachings of Mukherjee and Duffy are analogous as the references are directed to stability of ascorbic acid and therefore the combination of the references is logical.

Art Unit: 1615

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -6.30 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615

March 21, 2005

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATEN EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600